

### Remarks

Applicant notes with appreciation the indication by the Examiner of allowable subject matter in claims 2-10,12,13 and 17 if rewritten in independent form to include all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicant notes the rejection of claims 15 and 18 under 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 has been amended to incorporate claim 2 and claim 2 has been cancelled. It is believed that this is in full response to the Examiner's notation of allowable subject matter in claim 2. Claims 7, 8, 9, 10 and 17 have been cancelled as being redundant, based on the new chain of dependency created by incorporating claim 2 into claim 1. Claim 15 has been cancelled, and it is believed that this is a full answer to the Examiner's rejection of this claim under 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph. Claim 18 has been amended to delete the reference to both claims 1 and 11, so that claim 18 is now clearly dependent from claim 11 only. It is believed that this overcomes the Examiner's objection under 35 U.S.C. 112 which stated that claim 18 appeared to be dependent from two claims. It is believed that these amendments are fully responsive to the Examiner's suggestions and now makes all of the remaining claims in this application in condition for allowance.